



Simulation and education

Evaluating the effectiveness of a strategy for teaching neonatal resuscitation in West Africa[☆]Christabel Enweronu-Laryea^{a,*}, Cyril Engmann^b, Alexandra Osafo^c, Carl Bose^d^a Department of Child Health, University of Ghana Medical School, P. O. Box 4236, Accra, Ghana^b Division of Neonatal-Perinatal Medicine, School of Medicine & School of Public Health, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-7596, USA^c Department of Child Health, Korle Bu Teaching Hospital, Accra, Ghana^d Division of Neonatal-Perinatal Medicine, School of Medicine, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-7596, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 22 April 2009

Received in revised form 29 June 2009

Accepted 2 August 2009

Keywords:

Neonatal resuscitation

Ghana

Training

ABSTRACT

Aim: To evaluate the effectiveness of a strategy for teaching neonatal resuscitation on the cognitive knowledge of health professionals who attend deliveries in Ghana, West Africa.

Methods: Train-the-trainer model was used to train health professionals at 2–3 day workshops from 2003 to 2007. Obstetric Anticipatory Care and Basic Neonatal Care modules were taught as part of Neonatal Resuscitation Training package. American Neonatal Resuscitation Program was adapted to the clinical role of participants and local resources. Cognitive knowledge was evaluated by written pre- and post-training tests.

Results: The median pre-training and post-training scores were 38% and 71% for midwives, 43% and 81% for nurses, 52% and 90% for nurse anaesthetists, and 62% and 98% for physicians. All groups of the 271 professionals (18 nurse anaesthetists, 55 nurses, 68 physicians, and 130 midwives) who completed the course showed significant improvement ($p < 0.001$) in median post-training test scores. Midwives at primary health care facilities were less likely to achieve passing post-test scores than midwives at secondary and tertiary facilities [35/53 vs. 24/26 vs. 45/51 ($p = 0.004$)] respectively.

Conclusion: Evidence-based neonatal resuscitation training adapted to local resources significantly improved cognitive knowledge of all groups of health professionals. Further modification of training for midwives working at primary level health facilities and incorporation of neonatal resuscitation in continuing education and professional training programs are recommended.

© 2009 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Perinatal asphyxia, a potentially modifiable cause of neonatal mortality and morbidity affects over four million newborns in developing countries annually. About 1.2 million of affected infants die and at least the same number develop severe consequences such as cerebral palsy.^{1,2} Perinatal asphyxia is a major cause of neonatal mortality in Ghana.^{3–6}

Improving neonatal resuscitation skills of health professionals reduces neonatal mortality and morbidity.^{7,8} However, health professionals in sub-Saharan Africa feel professionally inadequate to provide neonatal resuscitation because they lack adequate knowledge, skills, and basic equipment for emergency

perinatal care.^{9,10} These barriers could be reduced with training.

The American Academy of Paediatrics (AAP) and American Heart Association (AHA) Neonatal Resuscitation Program (NRP) is an effective training tool for improving knowledge and skills of health professionals,^{11,12} and the standard of care for preventing adverse outcome in asphyxiated newborns.¹³ Neonatal resuscitation training programs are non-existent in many west African countries. To improve the knowledge and skills of health professionals who care for the newborn, we started multi-component workshops in Ghana in 2003. We report the strategy used to implement training and the effect of training on cognitive knowledge.

2. Methods

The workshops were organized by Department of Child Health, Korle Bu Teaching Hospital (KBTH), Accra from October 2003 to September 2007. Each workshop lasted 2–3 days and comprised of 3 modules: (a) Obstetric Anticipatory Care for identifying the foetus at risk, (b) Basic Neonatal Care based on the World Health Orga-

[☆] A Spanish translated version of the abstract of this article appears as Appendix in the final online version at doi:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2009.08.005.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +233 20 815 4886.

E-mail addresses: chikalaryea@yahoo.com (C. Enweronu-Laryea), cengmann@med.unc.edu (C. Engmann), ayisibea2000@yahoo.com (A. Osafo), cbose@med.unc.edu (C. Bose).

Table 1
Place of work of health professionals.

	Tertiary level health facility	Secondary level health facility	Primary level health facility
Mid wives (n = 130)	51	26	53
Nurse (n = 55)	20	35	–
Nurse anaesthetists (n = 18)	–	18	–
Physicians (n = 68)	46	22	–
Total	117	101	53

Table 2
Neonatal Resuscitation Program (NRP) median (interquartile range) test scores of participants.

	Mid wives (n = 130)	Nurse (n = 55)	Nurse anaesthetists (n = 18)	Physicians (n = 68)
NRP tests (maximum score)				
Pre-test (21)	8 (7–11)	9 (7–12)	11 (10–13)	13 (12–15)
Lesson 1 (13)	8 (7–10)	10 (8–11)	9.5 (8–10)	11 (10–12.5)
Lesson 2 (13)	7 (6–9)	9 (7–11)	10 (9–11)	10 (8–11)
Lesson 3 (19)	11 (9–13)	12 (11–14)	13 (12–14)	14 (10.5–16)
Lesson 4 (14)	11 (8–13)	12 (12–13)	12 (10–13)	12 (8.5–14)
Lesson 5 (13)	–	–	–	12 (11–13)
Lesson 6 (13)	–	–	–	12 (10–13)
Lesson 7 (13)	–	–	–	–
Post-test (21)	15 (13–17)	17 (15–19)	19 (17–19)	20.5 (19–21)

nization (WHO) Integrated Management of Childhood Illnesses and Essential Newborn Care Program, and (c) Neonatal Resuscitation based on the fourth edition of the AAP/AHA NRP.¹⁴ Training comprised of didactic lectures, interactive and hands-on practical sessions, and train-the-trainer model for neonatal resuscitation module.

2.1. Participants and instructors

Participants were midwives, nurses from acute obstetric and neonatal units, residents in obstetrics and paediatrics, medical officers in secondary level health facilities, and nurse anaesthetists. Each workshop had 30–50 participants. The first workshop was conducted by volunteer neonatal resuscitation training instructors from United States of America (USA). They provided training equipment and trained 10 trainers. These 10 trainers (2 nurses and 8 physicians) from KBTH formed the core trainers for subsequent workshops.

2.2. Neonatal resuscitation training module

We taught the seven lessons of NRP [(i) principles of resuscitation; (ii) initial steps in resuscitation; (iii) bag and mask ventilation; (iv) chest compressions; (v) endotracheal intubation; (vi) medications; (vii) special considerations], but minimized emphasis on endotracheal intubation and medications for nurses and midwives. The Special Considerations lesson was adapted to local context. We ensured comprehension of the subject by: (a) teaching a maximum of two lessons per day to nurses and midwives and spending more time on practical sessions; (b) discussion of test questions for each lesson after presentation and evaluation of that lesson; and (c) extra tutorial for participants with unsatisfactory practical skills.

2.3. Evaluation of effect of training on cognitive knowledge and practical skills

The NRP evaluation multiple choice and fill-in questions were administered for each lesson taught. The results of the 21-question pre- and post-tests which cover all aspects of the module were used to assess change in cognitive knowledge. Physicians were taught the entire NRP and the passing score was 85% which is consistent with the NRP in USA. We defined passing score among non-physicians as 60%; this is equivalent to 85% of the pre- and post-test questions relating to lessons they had formal presentations on. Practical skills were assessed by direct observation of mock clinical scenarios using a performance checklist. However, effect of training on practical skills was not analysed because it was logistically difficult to do it formally on all participants.

2.4. Statistical analysis

Data were analysed with Sigma Stat for Windows Version 3.11 (Systat Software Inc., USA). We used Wilcoxon signed rank test to compare the difference between pre- and post-test scores for health professional groups, and Chi-square analysis to compare the performance of midwives at different health facility levels.

3. Results

Of the 314 participants at 7 workshops; 271 (18 nurse anaesthetists, 55 nurses, 68 physicians, and 130 midwives) completed the lessons. We excluded 43 participants (28 physicians and 14 midwives from KBTH, and 1 nurse from secondary health facility) who partially attended the workshops. Most (38/43) excluded participants had to leave the workshop to attend emergencies. Table 1 shows the levels of health facilities of participants. Most midwives

Table 3
Improvement in health professional pre- and post-test scores after Neonatal Resuscitation Program training.

Status	Median pre-test score % (IQR)	Median post-test score % (IQR)	Median improvement from pre-test (%)	p-value
Midwives (n = 130)	38 (33–52%)	71 (62–81%)	87	<0.001
Nurses (n = 55)	43 (33–57%)	81 (71–90)	89	<0.001
Nurse anaesthetists (n = 18)	52 (48–62%)	90 (81–90)	72	<0.001
Physicians (n = 68)	62 (57–71%)	98 (81–98%)	58	<0.001

were from primary (41%) and tertiary (39%) facilities while majority of nurses (64%) were from secondary health facilities.

Table 2 shows evaluation test scores. Midwives had the lowest scores for all lessons; the performance of physicians was similar to nurse anaesthetists. Table 3 shows the effect of training on cognitive knowledge based on the difference between pre- and post-test scores for each professional group. Nurses and midwives demonstrated the least cognitive knowledge before training, but also the highest improvement in cognitive knowledge following training. Thirty one participants (1 midwife, 5 nurses and 25 physicians) with high test scores and good practical skills volunteered to be trained as trainers; 10 at the first workshop (core trainers) and 21 at subsequent workshops.

Twenty percent (26/130) of midwives failed to achieve the post-test passing score after the initial training; 69% (18/26) of these were from primary health facilities. Midwives from primary health facilities were less likely to achieve a passing score compared to midwives from secondary and tertiary facilities [35/53 (66%) vs. 24/26 (92%) vs. 45/51 (88%), $p=0.004$, 95% CI 3.81–21.92] respectively. Although not formally assessed, we observed that participants with low test scores were slower at acquiring practical skills.

4. Discussion

We have shown that AAP/AHA NRP can be used to improve the cognitive knowledge of health professionals on neonatal resuscitation in Ghana. The highest improvement occurred in nurses and midwives, but the performance of midwives at primary health facilities was significantly lower than those at secondary and tertiary facilities. The train-the-trainer model sustained the program.

Our holistic approach to incorporate the 3 modules was to enable participants understand the complex relationship between obstetrics and newborn care and modern concepts of neonatal resuscitation. We minimized emphasis on endotracheal intubation and medications for non-physicians because these were not applicable to their professional roles. This adaptation is consistent with NRP recommendations of targeting training to conform to the professional roles of learners.

The improvement in cognitive knowledge we observed is similar to data from other developing countries.^{15–17} An important finding in our cohort is that nurses and midwives who are the vanguard of acute obstetric and neonatal care in west Africa had the highest percentage increase in cognitive knowledge. We speculate that concerted effort to train them in emergency perinatal care will improve clinical skills that save newborn lives.

The performance of midwives at primary health facilities may have resulted from the extensive information we presented in a relatively short period of time. Many of these midwives were not familiar with current newborn resuscitation principles and some had never used a resuscitation bag; however, 66% achieved pass post-test scores after one workshop. We ensured that all participants had satisfactory practical skills irrespective of their test score. Longer training periods and alternate educational techniques (e.g. further simplification of NRP) should be explored for this group of midwives.

Train-the-trainer model increases knowledge, promotes ownership, builds capacity and enables sustainability of programs.¹⁸ These qualities are important for sustaining clinical skills in west African health professionals. Midwives and nurse anaesthetists were under-represented in our cohort of volunteer trainers partly because of their clinical workload. Nurse anaesthetists are principal providers of anaesthesia for operative obstetric care in secondary health facilities in west Africa and most midwives are sole practitioners in their primary health facility. Training midwives and

nurse anaesthetists as trainers will promote a sustainable program and build capacity for skilled neonatal resuscitation at all levels of perinatal care.

Our work has some limitations. Although we used validated materials for NRP and Newborn Care modules, the Obstetric Anticipatory Care module content was not validated. Validation and exploration of the benefits of this module appear warranted. We evaluated the effectiveness of training on only cognitive knowledge; it would have been preferable to also evaluate practical skills. However, the real test is whether the knowledge and skills are retained over time to improve practices that save newborn lives in labour rooms.

5. Conclusion

Sustaining evidence-based neonatal resuscitation training program is feasible in west Africa; however, scaling up requires the involvement of Ministry of Health. Training programs should be adapted to the local human and infrastructural resources to ensure effectiveness. Incorporating neonatal resuscitation in continuing education and professional training programs is recommended.

Conflict of interest statement

Authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

Acknowledgements

We wish to acknowledge Dr Richard Bell MD, Northbay Medical Centre, Fairfield, California the leader of NRP instructors who organized the first workshop and provided the Department of Child Health, KBTH with training equipment. We wish to acknowledge volunteer instructors from Kybele Incorporated, University of North Carolina, and Pediatrix group of Texas for their help in providing free resuscitation bags for the health facilities and training the trainers.

References

1. Azra Haider B, Bhutta ZA. Birth asphyxia in developing countries: current status and public health implications. *Curr Probl Pediatr Adolesc Health Care* 2006;36:178–88.
2. Hyder Adnan A, Wali Salman A, McGuckin Jeffery. The burden of disease from neonatal mortality: a review of South Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa. *BJOG* 2003;110:894–901.
3. Welbeck J, Biritwum RB, Mensah G. Factors affecting the survival of the "at risk" newborn at Korle Bu Teaching Hospital, Accra, Ghana. *West Afr J Med* 2003;22:55–8.
4. Baiden F, Hodgson A, Adjui M, Adongo P, Ayaga B, Binka F. Trend and causes of neonatal mortality in the Kassena–Nankana district of northern Ghana, 1995–2002. *Trop Med Int Health* 2006;11:532–9.
5. Enweronu-Laryea CC, Nkyekyer K, Rodrigues OP. The impact of improved neonatal intensive care facilities on referral pattern and outcome at a teaching hospital in Ghana. *J Perinatol* 2008;28:561–5.
6. Pond B, Addai E, Kwashie ST. Stagnation of Ghana's under-5 mortality rate. *The Lancet* 2005;365:1846.
7. Duran R, Aladağ N, Vatanserver U, Süt N, Acunaş B. The impact of neonatal resuscitation program courses on mortality and morbidity of newborn infants with perinatal asphyxia. *Brain Dev* 2008;30:43–6.
8. O'Hare BA, Nakakeeto M, Southall DP. A pilot study to determine if nurses trained in basic neonatal resuscitation would impact the outcome of neonates delivered in Kampala, Uganda. *J Trop Paediatr* 2006;52:376–9.
9. Bream KD, Gennaro S, Kafulafula U, Mbweza E, Hehir D. Barriers to and facilitators for newborn resuscitation in Malawi, Africa. *J Midwifery Women's Health* 2005;329–34.
10. Pettersson KO, Johansson E, Pelembe Mde F, Dgedge C, Christensson K. Mozambican midwives' views on barriers to quality perinatal care. *Health Care Women Int* 2006;27:145–68.
11. Deorari AK, Paul VK, Singh M, Vidyasagar D, Medical Colleges N. Impact of education and training on neonatal resuscitation practices in 14 teaching hospitals in India. *Ann Trop Paediatr* 2001;21:29–33.
12. Ryan CA, Clark LM, Malone A, Ahmed S. The effect of a structured neonatal resuscitation program on delivery room practices. *Neonatal Netw* 1999;18:25–30.
13. Wiswell TE. Neonatal resuscitation. *Respir Care* 2003;48:288–95.

14. American Academy of Paediatrics Committee on Foetus and Newborn, American Heart Association Sub-committee on Paediatric Resuscitation, International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation Paediatric Working Group, et al. Neonatal resuscitation textbook. 4th edition United States of America: American Academy of Paediatrics and American Heart Association; 2000.
15. Trevisanuto D, Ibrahim SA, Doglioni N, Salvadori S, Ferrarese P, Zanado V. Neonatal resuscitation courses for paediatric residents: comparison between Khartoum (Sudan) and Padova (Italy). *Paediatr Anaesth* 2007;17:28–31.
16. Ergenekon E, Koc E, Atalay Y, Soysal S. Neonatal resuscitation course experience in Turkey. *Resuscitation* 2000;45:225–7.
17. Opiyo N, Were F, Govedi F, et al. Effect of newborn resuscitation training on health worker practices in Pumwani Hospital, Kenya. *PLoS ONE* 2008;3:e1599. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0001599.
18. Orfaly R, Frances J, Campbell P, Whittemore B, Jolly B, Koh H. Train-the-trainer as an educational model in public health preparedness. *J Public Health Manag Pract* 2005;11:S123–7.